

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

195

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/702,226	10/31/2000	Steven E. Walak	BSI-469US	1298
490	7590 07/15/2003			
VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS, P.A.			EXAMINER	
	109 BLUE CIRCLE DRIVE UITE 2000 MATTHEWS, W			WILLIAM H
	KA, MN 55343-9185			
	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	110
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Λ
, r,		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/702,226	WALAK, STEVEN E.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		William H. Matthews (Ho	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA risions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>13 June 2003</u> .	
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)		
3)	Since this application is in condition fo	r allowance except for formal r	natters, prosecution as to the merits is
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-47 is/are pending in	the application.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-15,20,21 an</u>	d 25-47 is/are withdrawn from	consideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,16-19 and 22-24</u> is/are reje	ected.	
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.	
	ion Papers		
	The specification is objected to by the E		th a Financian
10) 📙	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[
44)	Applicant may not request that any objecti The proposed drawing correction filed or		
11)	If approved, corrected drawings are requir		disapproved by the Examiner.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by		
,—	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	THE EXAMINOR.	
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority under 35 H S :	C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f)
<i>,</i> —	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	Totalyti priority under 55 0.5.	o. 3 110(a) (a) or (i).
а)	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received	
	2. Certified copies of the priority do		Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		
* (application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
14) 🔲 🗸	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for o	domestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
e a	The translation of the foreign langu Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	age provisional application has	s been received.
Attachmen	•	, <i>*</i>	· ·
1) 🔀 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	-948) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Each of claims 17 and 18 recite "said combination" which lacks proper antecedence due to amendments to claim 16.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1,2,16-18, and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Burmeister et al. WO 95/31945.

Burmeister et al. discloses in figures 1,5,6,8-11,14-16 multiple stent configurations comprising superelastic and plastically deformable sections. As shown in

Application/Control Number: 09/702,226

Art Unit: 3738

Figure 16, superelastic nitinol layer 154 is surrounding by plastically deformable layers 152. Note this pattern continues. Therefore the first section, as claimed, is 154 and the second section begins at adjacent layer 152 and another layer 154 not shown and another layer 152 not shown.

Regarding claims 2 and 23, see lines 3-13 of page 13.

Regarding claims 17-18, see lines 12-18 of page 7.

3. Claims 1,2,16,17,19, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Chandrasekaran US PN 6,093,157.

Chandrasekaran discloses in Figures 2 and 6 and lines 3-12 of col. 3, lines 1-13 of col. 4, and lines 11-34 of col. 5 an endoluminal device having a first section 52 comprising Nitinol in a plurality of stripes and a second section comprising layers of Nitinol 34 and gold 50. See figures 2-3, line 31 of col. 4 through line 9 of col. 5, and lines 31-49 of col. 7. The gold layer inherently constrains the Nitinol layer when the Nitinol expands due to temperature by virtue of the gold layer surrounding the Nitinol layer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/702,226

Art Unit: 3738

5. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burmeister et al. WO 95/31945 in view of Alt US PN 6,217,607.

Burmeister et al. meets the limitations of claim 19 as described above but lacks the express disclosure of utilizing gold for the plastically deformable section. Note that Burmeister et al. discloses various alloys comprising gold on page 2, providing strands of platinum or tantalum for radiopacity, and that radiopaque portions or coatings may be included on any parts of the stents (lines 3-18 of page 13). Alt teaches the specific use of gold for a coating on a Nitinol stent to improve radiopacity of the stent.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the stent disclosed by Burmeister et al. to either substitute gold for the platinum or tantalum strands or to have coated portions of the stent with gold as taught by Alt in order to improve radiopacity of the stent.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,2,16-19,22-24 have been considered but are not persuasive.

With regard to Heath '570, arguments are now moot.

With regard to Burmeister et al WO 95/31945, Applicant contends that the stent structure does not provide longitudinal stripes present in two sections because the wires are comprised of two alloys. The examiner disagrees because sections of the wires may be interpreted as the first and second sections. As shown in Figure 16, superelastic nitinol layer 154 is surrounded by plastically deformable layers 152. Note

Application/Control Number: 09/702,226

Art Unit: 3738

this pattern continues because of the braiding shown in Figure 15. Therefore the first

Page 5

section, as claimed, is 154 and the second section begins at adjacent layer 152 and

another layer 154 not shown, and another layer 152 not shown (for claim 18).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to William H. Matthews (Howie) whose telephone number

is 703-305-0316. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:00-4:30 (Every

other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine M. McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

308-2708 for regular communications and (703) 305-3590 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0858.

July 13, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATERT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700